

**ZONING, PLATTING & ADVISORY COMMITTEE (ZPAC)
August 7, 2018 – Meeting Minutes**

Senior Planner Matt Asselmeier called the meeting to order at 9:03 a.m.

Present:

Robert Davidson – PBZ Committee Chair
Aaron Rybski – Health Department
Fran Klaas – Highway Department
Megan Andrews – Soil and Water Conservation District
Jonathan Oelschlager – GIS
Deputy Commander Jason Langston – Sheriff's Department
Matt Asselmeier – PBZ Department

Absent:

David Guritz – Forest Preserve
Greg Chismark – WBK Engineering, LLC
Brian Holdiman – PBZ Department

Audience:

Dan Kramer, Tom Grant, Paul Kovacevich, John Whitehouse, and Gay Hoddy

AGENDA

Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to approve the agenda as proposed. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

MINUTES

Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Ms. Andrews, to approve the June 5, 2018, meeting minutes. With a voice vote of all ayes the motion carried.

PETITIONS

Petition 18-24 Dorothy Flisk on Behalf of Skyfall Equestrian, LLC – Major Amendment to a Special Use Permit to Increase the Number of Horses Allowed Boarded from Twenty-Four (24) to Thirty (36) at 17 Ashe Roads (PIN 01-01-200-020 and 02-06-102-009) in Little Rock and Bristol Townships

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request.

Dorothy Flisk, on behalf of Skyfall Equestrian, LLC, is requesting a major amendment to their special use permit to increase the number of horses allowed to be boarded in the stable from twenty-four (24) to thirty-six (36). The Petitioner desires the amendment to respond to increased market demand for stabling services.

The existing stable has twenty-six (26) horse stalls. The property has a total of sixteen (16) paddock areas and an "arena" area.

In the future, the Petitioner would like to demolish the accessory structures and construct an area for hay and straw and an additional twelve (12) stalls. The Petitioner would also like to construct a lounging arena north of the existing "arena" area.

The Petitioner has a waitlist and would like to expand because of the waitlist. If approved, the Petitioner would like to expand operations in the summer of 2019.

The existing land use is agricultural. The future land use is Rural Estate Residential.

The property does not have direct access to Ashe Road. Trails are planned along Ashe Road.

There are wetlands in the area. There is a floodplain to west and northwest of the subject property. Based on the Kendall County GIS, the Floodplain is approximately one hundred thirty feet (130') away from the property.

No EcoCat was required because no new buildings were proposed in the original application. No EcoCat was required for amendments in 2012. An EcoCat may be required if new structures are proposed in the future.

No NRI was required because no new buildings are proposed. No NRI was required for amendments in 2012. However, if new structures are proposed in the future, a NRI could be required.

Petition information was sent to Little Rock Township on August 1, 2018.

Petition information was sent to Bristol Township on August 1, 2018.

The City of Plano submitted a response on July 30, 2018, and have no objections.

Petition information was sent to the Bristol-Kendall Fire Protection District on August 1, 2018.

The Little Rock Fox Fire Protection District had no objections.

Mr. Asselmeier read the restrictions from the 2012 special use permit.

The Kendall County Planning, Building and Zoning Department has not received any complaints regarding the special use at the subject property.

The Kendall County Health Department received one (1) complaint since the issuance of the amended special use permit for manure related issues. The issue was unfounded.

The original ordinance granting the special use permit, Ordinance 2006-05, allowed thirty-six (36) horses to be stabled at the property.

Any new buildings would have to meeting applicable building codes.

A picture of the manure area was provided. The Petitioner currently has manure picked up four (4) days per week and has a mushroom farmer collect manure for composting once per week. The Petitioner indicated that they would construct a more secure, screened manure area with at least one (1) additional pad beneath the manure pile.

The Kendall County Health Department requested a more detailed manure management plan.

No new lighting is planned.

No additional fencing or buffering is planned.

No changes in impervious surface are planned. The stormwater situation may have to be reexamined if the Petitioner constructs new building(s).

No changes in utilities, well, or septic system are planned. Any new utilities would have to secure applicable permits.

Staff would like more detailed information, including a site plan showing the proposed new structure, before issuing a recommendation to increase the number of horses to thirty-six (36). Staff would like to note that, based on the current facilities at the property, a maximum of twenty-six (26) horses could be boarded on the property at the present time. If a manure management plan satisfactory to the Kendall County Health Department were submitted, Staff would have no objections to immediately increasing the number of horses allowed to be boarded from twenty-four (24) to twenty-six (26) instead of the requested thirty-six (36).

Dan Kramer, attorney for the Petitioner, stated that the building next to the manure area will be demolished. The property was foreclosed and the bank reduced the number of allowed horses to twenty-four (24). Not all of the lot owners have horses. Mr. Kramer requested that the Petitioner have a site plan prepared. No new septic or wells are planned. The Petitioner plans to pour one (1) big pad for manure; Mr. Davidson requested that elevations be shown on the site plan in the manure area.

Mr. Rybski requested a three (3) walled, concrete bottomed manure area. He also requested a copy of the contract with the mushroom farmer and the manure removal company. The manure would be removed once per week; the manure goes to a landfill.

Mr. Klaas asked if the homeowners' association had any objections to this proposal. Mr. Kramer responded the homeowners' association has no objections, but one (1) neighbor may oppose this request.

Mr. Rybski asked how far the manure area was from a water way. Mr. Kramer responded that the manure area was not near the hill.

Mr. Kramer stated that Bristol Township had no objections to the proposal.

Mr. Davidson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski, to layover this Petition until a site plan is submitted, a more detailed manure management plan is submitted, and EcoCat and NRI applications are made.

Ayes (7): Andrews, Davidson, Klaas, Langston, Oelschlager, Rybski, and Asselmeier
Nays (0): None
Abstain (0): None
Absent (3): Chismark, Holdiman, and Guritz

The motion passed. This matter will appear on the August 27th Zoning Board of Appeals agenda with a request to layover.

Petition 18-25 Paul Kovacevich on Behalf of Tri-Star Development, Inc. – Map Amendment Rezoning Property Located Approximately 0.5 Miles West of Jughandle Road on the South Side of Route 52 (PINs 09-15-300-014, 09-16-400-002, 09-16-400-005, 09-16-400-006, 09-21-200-004, and 09-22-100-010) from A-1 to R-1 in Seward Township

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request.

Paul Kovacevich, on behalf of Tri-Star Development, Inc., is requesting a map amendment rezoning the subject property from A-1 to R-1 in order to be able to build a forty (40) lot single-family residential subdivision. The Petitioner would like to have the zoning in place prior to going through the subdivision process. One (1) of the forty (40) lots will be transferred to the Forest Preserve District.

At their meeting on October 10, 2017, the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee received general information from the Petitioner and his attorney about this proposed development. The Planning, Building and Zoning Committee was open to allowing the Petitioner to submit an application for a traditional subdivision instead of a Residential Planned Development.

The future land use map calls for the area to be Rural Residential.

Lots 29 through 39 have an “A” designated lot attached to the primary lot. The “A” lot is floodplain and cannot have structures. The primary lot and the associated “A” lot is considered one (1) zoning lot under Kendall County's Zoning Ordinance. Lot 40 will be transferred to the Forest Preserve District.

Because zoning cannot be conditioned under Illinois law, any of the requirements associated with development (i.e. construction of trails, restricting the sale of “A” lots from their primary lot, etc.) cannot occur until the subdivisions plats are submitted.

The Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Rural Residential in the future. This classification has a maximum zero point six-five (0.65) density units per acre. If the zoning is approved, the maximum number of lots that could be developed is sixty-one (61); (183 acres/2.99 acres). This figure does not take into consideration the undevelopable lands (i.e. wetlands, roads, etc.). The Petitioner is proposing fewer than the maximum number of lots. However, if the Petitioner did create a subdivision with R-1 zoning and the maximum number of lots permitted, the density units per acre would still be below zero point six-five (0.65).

Because the Land Resource Management Plan calls for this area to be Rural Residential in the future, Staff does not believe that the approval of this request would constitute spot zoning.

The property fronts Route 52. Staff has no concerns regarding the ability of Route 52 to support the proposed map amendment. Access related issues for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

Joliet has trails planned along Route 52 and Minooka has trails planned along the Aux Sable Creek.

There is a floodplain on the east side of the property along Aux Sable Creek and there are wetlands along the Aux Sable Creek and in the woods on the east side of the property.

The A-1 special use permit located to the east of the property is for agricultural product sales.

There is currently one (1) pole building located on the property which will be demolished.

There are six (6) houses located on Route 52 within one thousand feet (1,000') of the subject property. The aerial of the property was provided.

The EcoCAT Report submitted and consultation was terminated.

The application for NRI was submitted on July 5, 2018 and LESA Score was 230 indicating a high level of protection.

Petition information was sent to Seward Township on July 31, 2018.

The subject property is within one point five (1.5) miles of the City of Joliet. The City of Joliet submitted comments on July 27, 2018. They encouraged development to follow the Aux Sable Creek Watershed Plan.

The Village of Shorewood expressed no opposition to the proposal.

Petition information was sent to the Minooka Fire Protection District on July 31, 2018.

Any new homes or accessory structures would be required to meet applicable building codes. Building related matters for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

No new odors are foreseen. Odor related issues for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

Any new lighting would be for residential use only. Lighting related issues for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

No fencing or buffer is presently planned for the property. Screening related issues for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

Any new homes would have to be constructed per Kendall County's Stormwater Management Ordinance. Stormwater related issues for a subdivision would be addressed during the subdivision process.

Electricity is onsite. New well and septic information would have to be evaluated as part of the subdivision or building permit processes.

Staff recommends approval of the proposed map amendment.

Tom Grant, attorney for the Petitioner, said the proposal will go to Seward Township on Tuesday, August 14th. The Petitioner may submit preliminary and final plats simultaneously.

Mr. Davidson asked the width of the property connect the property to Route 52. The response was approximately two hundred fifty feet (250').

Mr. Davidson asked how much of the acreage is wetlands. The Petitioner stated that he was not proposing development on the wetlands or in the floodplain and did not know the exact acreage of wetlands on the property.

Ms. Andrews stated that a couple potential wetlands were located on the property. She requested that the Petitioner work with a wetland delineation specialist to identify these areas. The Petitioner said that the area was wet because of plugged drain tile. Ms. Andrews noted that three (3) lots were in floodway and six (6) lots were in the floodplain as shown on the current version of the preliminary plat. Soils ranged from poorly drained to somewhat poorly drained. Some building limitations exist because of the soils. A secondary septic field will be needed. Ms. Andrews expressed concerns about draw-down of the aquifer.

Mr. Rybski expressed concerns about the difficulty of placing conventional septic systems on the proposed lots. The septic systems will have ongoing maintenance requirements. Many of the technologies are newer and they are still working out some of the issues with new technologies. He also discussed groundwater depletion. The Petitioner stated a development like this does not exist in Kendall County and the covenants and restrictions are very restrictive. The clientele the Petitioner is targeting should not have difficulty maintaining the system.

Mr. Davidson said that septic systems will not be installed in the ground unless drainage tile exists.

Mr. Klaas asked if the Petitioner had any contact with the Illinois Department of Transportation regarding access off of Route 52. The response was they contacted the Illinois Department of Transportation, but have not received comments to date.

Mr. Davidson made a motion, seconded by Mr. Klaas, to recommend approval of the map amendment as requested.

Ayes (7): Andrews, Davidson, Klaas, Langston, Oelschlager, Rybski, and Asselmeier
Nays (0): None
Abstain (0): None
Absent (3): Chismark, Holdiman, and Guritz

The motion passed unanimously. This matter will go before the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission on August 22nd.

Petition 18-26 Maurice E. Ormiston as Trustee u/t/a No. 101 and Marilyn J. Ormiston as Trustee u/t/a 102 (Owners) and Gay Hoddy (Operator) Request a Special Use Permit to Operate a Banquet Facility, a Variance to the Requirement that the Facility Must Be Located on an Arterial or Major Collector Road, a Variance to the Requirement for Hard Surface Parking Areas (Except for the ADA Required Parking Spaces), and a Variance that the Property not be Required to Have Fully Shielded Parking Facility Lighting at 14905 Hughes Road (PIN: 04-34-100-001) in Fox Township; Property is Zoned A-1

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request.

Gay Hoddy is the daughter-in-law of the Owners of the subject property. Ms. Hoddy would like to establish a banquet facility called the Harvest Moon Barn at the subject property and is requesting variances to the requirement that the facility must be located on an arterial or major collector road, the requirement for hard surface parking areas (except for the ADA required parking spaces), and that the property not be required to have fully shielded parking facility lighting.

Ms. Hoddy's provided a business plan.

Events would be held in the frame barn located on the north side of site. Tents could be set up to the west of the barn. Based on the current size of the barn (approximately 1100 square feet), approximately one hundred twenty (120) people could fit inside the barn. Ms. Hoddy anticipates the largest group of attendees to be approximately two hundred fifty (250) people including staff. No members of the public would be allowed in the loft of the barn. At some point in the future, Ms. Hoddy may put concrete pavement in the barn; the current pavement is compacted gravel.

The facility would be operational from May 1st through October 31st. Ms. Hoddy would like the ability to have events outside these dates, weather permitting. The majority of events would be on weekends. However, she would like the ability to have weekday events as well. She seemed open to capping the number of events per week. The proposed hours of operation for events would be from 4:00 p.m. until Midnight. Setup for events would start at 10:00 a.m. and take down from events would be completed by 1:00 a.m.

Ms. Hoddy plans to have two (2) hostesses and four (4) servers at a maximum. She would be responsible for security.

All events would be catered, both food and drink.

The reserving party would be responsible for securing applicable insurance. Ms. Hoddy will also have insurance.

The banquet hall will be used for weddings, birthdays, retirement parties, and similar events.

She has over twenty (20) years of experience as a waitress and banquet related work. She has taken CPR classes in the past and plans to take a refresher course.

Ms. Hoddy reported that she has received at least four (4) phone calls requesting weddings at the property. She had her wedding at the property. One (1) niece had a wedding at the property and another niece is planning a wedding at the property.

Ms. Hoddy agreed to follow all applicable laws related to this type of business and she also agreed to follow the Kendall County Right to Farm Clause.

If approved, Ms. Hoddy would like to start having events in May 2019.

The current and future land use for the property is agricultural.

Hughes Road is a local, township road.

The EcoCat submitted on July 11, 2018; consultation was termination.

NRI application submitted on July 11, 2018.

Fox Township was emailed information on July 30, 2018.

Newark Fire Protection District was emailed information on July 30, 2018.

The United City of Yorkville was emailed information on July 30, 2018. While the property is within one point five (1.5) miles of the Yorkville City Limits, the subject property is not included in Yorkville's extraterritorial planning area as shown on the Yorkville Future Land Use Map.

Mr. Asselmeier read the restrictions for banquet halls listed in the Zoning Ordinance.

A Change of Occupancy Permit will be required for each existing structure that will be used in conjunction with the proposed banquet facility.

Ms. Hoddy indicated that all water used for events will be brought into the site; no well water will be used. Porta-potties will be used for events. According to the site plan, one (1) handicapped accessible porta-potty and two (2) other porta-potties will be located to the northeast of the barn. Refuse containers and a dumpster will be located near the porta-potties. An ADA approved path from the barn to the handicapped accessible porta-potty will be installed with lights.

Ms. Hoddy submitted a parking plan showing sixty-three (63) parking spaces including four (4) handicapped parking spaces. The parking area is planned to be grass except for the handicapped parking spaces; Ms. Hoddy is requesting a variance to allow this type of parking. Parking will be to the south of the house west of the driveway and to the east of the driveway. No parking will encroach in the required setbacks.

Ms. Hoddy submitted a photometric plan and lighting is shown on the parking plan. According to the plan, two (2) new lights would be added for the parking lot west of the driveway. Two (2) new lights would be added to the parking lot east of the driveway. One (1) new light would be installed north of the handicapped parking area. Eight (8) solar powered lights will be installed on the walkway between the barn and the handicapped parking area. Ms. Hoddy indicated additional lighting could be installed along the south and east sides of the barn.

A non-illuminated sign is proposed on the west side of the driveway as shown on the site plan (Attachment 2, Page 2). The sign will be approximately thirty-two (32) square feet in size and two (2) faced. The location and type of sign proposed meet the requirements of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance.

Ms. Hoddy does not plan to install any additional landscaping.

The barn would not be air conditioned and the doors on the south and east sides would likely be open during events. Ms. Hoddy would like music to be played outside of the barn. Ms. Hoddy agreed to follow the Kendall County noise regulations. However, she did not provide a method for tracking noise.

Mr. Asselmeier noted that Kendall County previously granted a special use permit at 13889 Hughes Road (Ordinance 2016-05) for a banquet facility. This banquet facility is approximately one point two (1.5) miles from the nearest major collector road (Newark Road via Hollenback Road). The proposed banquet facility at the subject property is approximately one point two (1.2) miles from Route 71.

Before Staff makes a recommendation on the request, Staff would like to express the following concerns:

1. The proposal calls for having events many days during the week. Most of the previously issued special use permits for banquet facilities have restricted events to weekends.

2. Ms. Hoddy indicated all events would be catered and the well and septic system would not be impacted. Staff would like confirmation from the Health Department that this method of operating this type of business is permissible.
3. Ms. Hoddy indicated that she will be responsible for security at the site. Staff would like the opinion of the Sheriff's Department if this way of handling security is permissible.
4. The site has one point of ingress and egress on Hughes Road. Staff would like verification that the Newark Fire Protection District and the Sheriff's Department have no concerns with the layout of the site from a public safety perspective.
5. Ms. Hoddy indicated that the parking areas will not be paved. Staff would like comments from WBK on this matter.
6. No landscaping is planned for the site. Based on the Kendall County GIS, the barn at the subject property is approximately six hundred two feet (602') from the house at 14838 Hughes Road. The parking area east of the driveway is approximately two hundred twenty-four feet (224') from the house at 14838 Hughes Road. In comparison, the closest barn at 9111 Ashley Road is approximately six hundred twenty-four feet (624') from the house across the street and the parking area is approximately four hundred eleven feet (411') from the house across the street. The impact of noise and light on the adjoining property are concerns.
7. The results of the NRI would also be needed before a final recommendation is offered.

Based the current information provided, Staff suggests the following conditions and restrictions:

1. The site, including parking plan, shall be developed in accordance to the attached site plan.
2. The lighting shall be developed in accordance to the attached site plan and photometric plan. The operator of the banquet facility may install two (2) decorative lights on the south side of the barn and two (2) decorative lights on the east side of the barn.
3. Events shall be confined the framed barn, patio area, and grassy area west of the barn. No events may be held in the loft or second story or above of the framed barn, the corn crib, garage, residents, or any new barns or accessory buildings on the property without an amendment to this special use permit.
4. A variance should be granted to the requirement that the facility shall have direct access to a road designated as an arterial roadway or major collector road as identified in the Land Resource Management Plan.
5. The subject parcel must be a minimum of 5 acres.
6. The use of this property shall be in compliance with all applicable ordinances. The banquet facility shall conform to the regulations of the Kendall County Health Department and the Kendall County Liquor Control Ordinance. (Ord. 99-34)
7. Off-street parking, lighting and landscaping shall be provided in accordance with the provisions of Section 11 of the zoning ordinance except where variances are granted.
8. All signage shall comply with the provisions of Section 12 of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance. The signage shall be developed in accordance to the attached site plan. The signage will not be illuminated.
9. Retail sales are permitted as long as the retail sales will be ancillary to the main operation.
10. The noise regulations are as follows:

Day Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during daytime hours (7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds sixty five (65) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land, provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.

Night Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during nighttime hours (10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds fifty five (55) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.

EXEMPTION: Powered Equipment: Powered equipment, such as lawn mowers, small lawn and garden tools, riding tractors, and snow removal equipment which is necessary for the maintenance of property is exempted from the noise regulations between the hours of seven o'clock (7:00) A.M. and ten o'clock (10:00) P.M.

11. Event shall be held between May 1 and October 31. The property owner or banquet operator may hold events outside of this timeframe with the approval of the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee.
12. No more than four (4) events in a seven (7) day period may be held at the property.
13. Setup for events shall not commence prior to 10:00 a.m.
14. All events must cease by Midnight except for cleaning up after an event which must cease by 1:00 a.m.
15. A new certificate of occupancy must be issued for the framed barn.
16. The operator of the banquet facility allowed by this special use permit shall reside at the subject property as their primary place of residence.
17. The operator of the banquet facility and property owner(s) acknowledge and agree to follow Kendall County's Right to Farm Clause.
18. The property owner and operator of the banquet facility allowed by this special use permit shall follow all applicable Federal, State, and Local laws related to the operation of this type of business.
19. Failure to comply with one or more of the above conditions or restrictions could result in the amendment or revocation of the special use permit.
20. If one or more of the above conditions is declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining conditions shall remain valid.

Mr. Klaas noted that the Highway Commissioner had no concerns regarding access on Hughes Road.

Ms. Andrews stated that her board was still reviewing the proposal and the report should be completed by the end of the week of August 13th.

Mr. Davidson asked if the Petitioner changed to allow use of the septic system, would that change require an amendment to the special use permit. Mr. Asselmeier responded an amendment to the special use permit would be required. Mr. Davidson suggested amending the recommendation to allow use of the septic system. John Whitehouse, engineer for the Petitioner, stated they did not want to amend the special use permit if a septic system is installed in the future.

Discussion also occurred about requiring landscaping, berming, and/or trees if the neighbors complain. Mr. Whitehouse expressed concerns installing buffering because of a complaint; he wondered who would investigate a complaint and if a complaint, whether founded or unfounded, would trigger a buffering requirement.

Mr. Langston asked noted that the openings on the barns face the neighboring properties. He requested clarification on music playing outside the barn. The Petitioner agreed not to have music outside the barn except wedding ceremony music.

Mr. Langston asked about security. The Petitioner stated that if she had a security issues, she would call 911. No private security would be provided.

Mr. Langston expressed no concerns regarding ingress/egress at this site.

Mr. Rybski indicated that private events are not under the jurisdiction of the Health Department so long as the well is not used. If all the water is trucked in, that is fine.

Mr. Whitehouse said that he would provide additional information on the lines for the septic field.

Mr. Asselmeier indicated that WBK had concerns about the unpaved parking area. Mr. Asselmeier indicated that, if the area looked bad, Ms. Hoddy's business would suffer because some prospective customers would not want to have events at a location that looked undesirable. Mr. Davidson said the Petitioner will have to let the grass grow slightly higher and mow it frequently.

Mr. Whitehouse noted that the Zoning Ordinance required that lighting related to the special use permit must be turned off within one (1) hour of the end of the event.

Mr. Klaas made a motion, seconded by Ms. Andrews, to recommend approval of the special use permit and variances with the conditions proposed by Staff and to allow the Petitioner to remove the porta-potties if adequate facilities are installed.

Ayes (7): Andrews, Davidson, Klaas, Langston, Oelschlager, Rybski, and Asselmeier
Nays (0): None
Abstain (0): None
Absent (3): Chismark, Holdiman, and Guritz

The motion passed unanimously. This matter will go before the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission on August 22nd.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD

Mr. Asselmeier reported that Petition 18-14 regarding the Saar map amendment on Route 71 by the County Board.

Mr. Asselmeier noted that the solar panel special use request on Newark Road is under review at the Committee of the Whole.

The proposed banquet facility on Route 30 is still attempting to resolve their access issues with the Illinois Department of Transportation.

OLD BUSINESS/NEW BUSINESS

None

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Andrews made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rybski to adjourn. With a voice vote of all ayes, the motion carried. The ZPAC, at 10:15 a.m., adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP
Senior Planner