



**KENDALL COUNTY
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
PUBLIC HEARING**

111 West Fox Street • Room 209 and 210 • Yorkville, IL • 60560
(630) 553-4141 Fax (630) 553-4179

AGENDA

October 19, 2021 – 9:00 a.m.

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL: Amy Cesich, Brian DeBolt, Elizabeth Flowers, Scott Gengler, Judy Gilmour, Scott Gryder (Chair), Matt Kellogg (Vice-Chair), Dan Koukol, Ruben Rodriguez, and Robyn Vickers

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES October 15, 2020 Public Hearing/Meeting (Pages 2-5)

PUBLIC COMMENT

OPEN OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

ITEMS OF BUSINESS FOR PUBLIC HEARING

1. Comments on Stormwater Management Related Regulations and Enforcement (Pages 6-13)
2. Proposed Changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance

CLOSE OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

OTHER BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT

If special accommodations or arrangements are needed to attend this County meeting, please contact the Administration Office at 630-553-4171, a minimum of 24-hours prior to the meeting time.

**KENDALL COUNTY
STORMWATER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
PUBLIC HEARING
*Kendall County Historic Courthouse
Third Floor Courtroom
109 W. Ridge Street, Yorkville, Illinois*
Meeting Minutes of October 15, 2020 – Unofficial Until Approved
4:00 p.m.**

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Gryder called the Stormwater Oversight Committee Public Hearing to order at 4:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Committee Members Present: Amy Cesich, Scott Gengler, Judy Gilmour, Scott Gryder, Audra Hendrix, Matt Kellogg, Matthew Prochaska, and Robyn Vickers

Committee Members Absent: Elizabeth Flowers and Tony Giles

Also present: Matt Asselmeier, Leslie Johnson, Undersheriff Bobby Richardson, Scott Koeppel, Valarie McClain, RaeAnn VanGundy, Cliff Fox, Greg Chrise, Todd Milliron, and Mark Phipps (Attended Remotely)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Member Hendrix made a motion, seconded by Member Prochaska, to approve the agenda as presented. With a voice vote of eight (8) ayes, the motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Member Hendrix made a motion, seconded by Member Cesich, to approve the minutes of the December 12, 2019, public hearing and meeting. With a voice vote of eight (8) ayes, the motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mark Phipps, Redevelopment Coordinator for the City of Aurora, asked if Kendall County made any changes to the County's Stormwater Ordinance, would those changes prevent the City of Aurora from enforcing Kane County's Stormwater Ordinance inside the City limits. Mr. Asselmeier responded that, to his knowledge, municipalities in more than one (1) county may choose which county's stormwater ordinance to adopt. If Kendall County makes any changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance, those changes would not impact the City of Aurora's ability to enforce Kane County's Stormwater Ordinance inside Aurora.

Greg Chrise discussed the complaint that he had previously submitted to Kendall County. Mr. Chrise requested that the County amend the Stormwater Management Ordinance by establishing baselines to make the Ordinance enforceable and lower the three foot (3') threshold on

residentially used properties. He explained that a six inch (6”) or twelve (12”) inch changes in fill can have a huge impact on residentially used land.

OPEN OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

Chairman Gryder opened the Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Public Hearing at 4:06 p.m.

Comments on Stormwater Management Related Regulations and Enforcement

The Committee reviewed the 2019 and 2020 survey responses from the townships.

At the end of July, the County mailed the annual NPDES survey to the townships. 2020 marks the second year of sending and receiving responses from the townships. Four (4) townships responded in 2020 compared to five (5) townships in 2019.

In summary, only Oswego Township utilized the stormwater information on the County’s website. Only one (1) township utilized the volunteer opportunities page on the website. Electronics recycling was the most popular volunteer opportunity except in Oswego Township where river cleanups was the more popular volunteer opportunity. No illicit discharges were reported. The townships wanted the County to do more visual inspections and grab samples to identify and track illicit discharges. The results on training were mixed. Only Big Grove Township felt that necessary steps to reduce flooding was occurring.

The survey composite and comparison of 2019 and 2020 survey responses were provided.

Mr. Asselmeier noted that the County only has one (1) set of topographic data from the website which makes it difficult to enforce the Stormwater Management Ordinance when evaluating increased fill on properties. Scott Koeppel noted the expense of topographical flights and the recommendation to them every eight to ten (8-10) years. Member Kellogg explained the need to get topographic information after houses are constructed. Mr. Asselmeier explained the difficulties of getting measurements on private property. Member Kellogg asked if the three foot (3’) rule is something the County can change. Mr. Asselmeier said that he would ask WBK if a change to that rule was possible. Chairman Gryder asked if this issue could be brought back to the Stormwater Management Committee in the future. Mr. Asselmeier responded yes or that the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee could research the matter and bring a proposal to the Stormwater Management Committee in the future.

Proposed Changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance

Mr. Asselmeier noted that the County Board approved a change to the definition of Bulletin 70 last year. He noted that the Illinois State Water Survey officially changed the name of the document from Updated Bulletin 70 to Bulletin 75. WBK was confirming that no other changes occurred. After WBK completes their review, an amendment will be proposed correcting the official name of Bulletin 75. Staff does not have any additional proposed changes to the

Stormwater Management Ordinance at this time. No other proposed changes were presented by the Committee or members of the public.

CLOSE OF ANNUAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

Chairman Gryder closed the Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Public Hearing at 4:17 p.m.

OTHER BUSINESS

Member Hendrix asked about stormwater drainage along Grove Road which is causing mud around nearby homes, bike path, and cul-de-sac. Mr. Asselmeier suggested discussing the matter with Fran Klaas; Mr. Asselmeier was unaware of a cleaning schedule for the ditches in the County.

ADJOURNMENT

Member Hendrix made a motion, seconded by Member Cesich, to adjourn the meeting. With a voice vote of eight (8) ayes, the motion carried. The Stormwater Management Oversight Committee adjourned at 4:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM
Senior Planner

Enc.

**KENDALL COUNTY
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
OCTOBER 15, 2020**

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE CONTACTED ON FUTURE MEETINGS REGARDING THIS TOPIC, PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR ADDRESS OR EMAIL ADDRESS

NAME	ADDRESS (OPTIONAL)	EMAIL ADDRESS (OPTIONAL)
GREG C HRISSE		



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, BUILDING & ZONING

111 West Fox Street • Room 204

Yorkville, IL • 60560

(630) 553-4141

Fax (630) 553-4179

MEMORANDUM

To: Kendall County Stormwater Management Oversight Committee
From: Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM Senior Planner
Date: September 30, 2021
Re: 2020-2021 Items to Report

Since the October 2020 Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Hearing, the following stormwater related items have occurred:

1. The Planning, Building and Zoning Committee investigated lowering the height requirement that triggered a stormwater management permit from three feet (3') for developed areas of the County. The Committee decided against changing the requirement.
2. The 2018 contours were added to the GIS. The 2018 and 2010 contours are available for comparison on the GIS.
3. At the County's request, WBK investigated possible changes to the Stormwater Management Ordinance in response to FEMA Bulletin P-2140 Floodplain Management Requirements for Agricultural Structures and Accessory Structures. Their recommendation was no changes to the Ordinance were required at this time.
4. The Kendall County Board approved amendments to the Stormwater Management Ordinance pertaining to Bulletin 75.
5. The County prepared and submitted a new MS4 Five Year Plan.
6. At the end of July, the County mailed the annual NPDES survey to the townships. 2021 marked the third year of sending and receiving responses from the townships. Four (4) townships responded in 2021 and 2020 compared to five (5) townships in 2019. The responding townships in 2021 were Seward, Na-Au-Say, Oswego, and Lisbon. Only Seward utilized the County's website for stormwater information. None of the townships utilized the County website for volunteer opportunities. Electronics recycling and household waste recycling were the most popular volunteer opportunities suggested for future participation. All of the townships felt the County did an adequate job inspecting construction sites for soil erosion control. None of the townships felt the County was taking the necessary measures to reduce flooding.

The survey composite and comparison of the 2019, 2020, and 2021 survey responses are attached.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

MHA

NPDES Annual Evaluation Survey Questions
Kendall County

Public Education and Outreach

1. What topics are you interested in learning more about that the County could provide information on for the public? Please rank the following list from 1 to 3 with 1 being most interested and 3 being least interested.
 - A. How to properly store and dispose of common household products such as fuel, oil, paint, etc.
Most Interested (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Oswego
Middle Interested
Least Interested (1) Lisbon
 - B. How to incorporate green infrastructure such as rain gardens, rain barrels, or permeable pavement onto my property to improve rainwater runoff.
Most Interested
Middle Interested (1) Lisbon
Least Interested (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Oswego
 - C. How to compost to reduce the amount of waste my household generates.
Most Interested (1) Lisbon (1) Seward
Middle Interested (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Oswego
Least Interested
2. Do you utilize the stormwater information listed on the County's website at <https://www.co.kendall.il.us/departments/planning-building-zoning/npdes?>
 - A. Yes (1) Seward
 - B. No (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego
3. Do you find the stormwater information listed on the County's website helpful?
 - A. Yes (1) Seward
 - B. No
 - C. Do not utilize information on County Website (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego

Public Participation/Involvement

1. Do you think the County offers enough volunteer opportunities for members of the community?
 - A. Yes (1) Seward
 - B. No
 - C. Not familiar with County volunteer opportunities (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego

2. Do you utilize the volunteer opportunities information listed on the County's website at <https://www.co.kendall.il.us/departments/administration-services/volunteer-opportunities>?
 - A. Yes
 - B. No (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward

3. Do you find the volunteer opportunities information listed on the County's website helpful?
 - A. Yes (1) Seward
 - B. No
 - C. Not familiar with County volunteer opportunities (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego

4. What volunteer opportunities would you be interested in participating in in the future? Please rank the following list from 1 to 3 with 1 being most interested and 3 being least interested.
 - A. River clean-up
 - B. Electronic recycling
 - C. Household waste (fuel, oil, paint, etc.) recycling
 - Little Rock-
 - Bristol-
 - Oswego-Electronic recycling, Household waste recycling, River clean-up
 - Fox-
 - Kendall-
 - Na-Au-Say-Electronic recycling
 - Big Grove-
 - Lisbon-Household waste recycling, Electronic recycling, River clean-up
 - Seward-Household waste recycling
 - Blank-

Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination

1. If an illicit discharge is identified by a Township staff member or reported to the Township office, do you work with the County to get it removed?
 - A. Yes
 - B. No
 - C. Have not identified illicit discharge. (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward

2. Do you feel the County is doing a sufficient job in identifying, tracking, and removing illicit discharges and non-stormwater discharges that are significant polluters within the County?
 - A. Yes (1) Seward
 - B. No

- C. There have not been illicit discharges identified within my Township. (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego
3. What can the County do to better identify and track illicit discharges?
- A. Perform more visual inspections at outfalls throughout the County.
 - B. Once an illicit discharge is identified perform more grab samples downstream of the location.
 - C. Both of the above. (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward
 - D. None of the above. (1) Na-Au-Say
 - E. Other:
-
-

Construction and Post-Construction Runoff Control

1. Do you feel that the County does an adequate job inspecting soil erosion and sediment control on construction sites within your township?
- A. Yes (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward
 - B. No
 - C. There have not been construction projects within my Township during the past year.
2. What can the County do to better monitor soil erosion and sediment control issues on construction sites?
Silt Fencing/Possible Plugged Culverts After Flooding (Seward)
-
-

Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping

1. Do you feel the County provides adequate training to staff members to keep them informed on stormwater pollution prevention practices?
- A. Yes
 - B. No (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward
2. Do you feel the County is taking necessary measures to reduce flooding throughout the County?
- A. Yes
 - B. No (1) Na-Au-Say (1) Lisbon (1) Oswego (1) Seward

General comments or questions regarding Stormwater Management and/or NPDES requirements:

Responding Townships:

Na-Au-Say

Lisbon

Oswego

Seward

	2019 Notes	2020 Notes	2021 Notes
Dispose Products			
Most Interested	7 4 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox	2 NAS and BG	2 NAS and OS
Middle Interested		1 BL	
Least Interested			1 LS
Incorporate Green Infrastructure			
Most Interested		1 OS	
Middle Interested	5 2 From OS, SE, LS, Fox	1 BL	1 LS
Least Interested	1 LR	2 NAS and BG	2 NAS and OS
Compost			
Most Interested			2 LS and SE
Middle Interested		3 NAS BL and BG	2 NAS and OS
Least Interested	6 2 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox		
Utilize SW Info			
Yes	1 OS	1 OS	1 SE
No	7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox	3 NAS, BL, and BG	3 NAS, LS, and OS
No Response			
SW Info Helpful			
Yes	2 OS and SE	1 OS	1 SE
No	4 2 From OS, LS, Fox	2 BL and BG	
Do Not Utilize	N/A	N/A	3 NAS, LS, and OS
No Response	3 OS, LR, SE	1 NAS	
Enough Volunteer Opportunities			
Yes	2 OS and SE	2 OS and BG	1 SE
No	5 2 From OS, LR, LS, Fox	1 NAS	
Not Familiar	N/A	N/A	3 NAS, LS, and OS
No Response	1 OS	1 BL	
Utilize Volunteer Opportunities			

Yes	1 SE	1 BL	
No	7 4 From OS, LR, LS, Fox	2 OS and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE
No Response		1 NAS	
Volunteer Opportunities Helpful			
Yes	2 OS and SE	1 OS	1 SE
No	3 OS, LS, Fox	2 BL and BG	
Not Familiar	N/A	N/A	3 NAS, LS, and OS
No Response	3 2 From OS and LR	1 NAS	
River Clean-up	Least Popular Except in OS		Least Popular
Electronic Recycling	Most Popular		Most Popular in NAS and OS
Household Waste Recycling	Second Most Popular		Most Popular in LS and SE
Work With County on Illicit Discharge			
Yes	2 2 From OS	1 OS	
No	0	0	
No Illicit Discharge	5 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Fox	3 NAS BL and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE
Sufficient Job			
Yes	1 OS	0	1 SE
No	0	0	
No Illicit Discharge	7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox	4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG	3 NAS, LS, and OS
What Can County Do Better			
More Visual Inspections	0	1 BL	
More Grab Samples	1 SE	0	
Both of Above	5 3 From OS, LR, and LS	2 NAS OS	3 LS, OS, and SE
None of Above	0	1 BG	1 NAS
Other	Educate Public and Public Officials-Fox	0	
Soil and Erosion Inspections			

Yes	3 3 From OS	2 OS and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE
No	2 LS and Fox	0	
No Construction Projects	2 LR and SE	2 NAS and BL	
What Can County Do Better	Work Closer with Township (OS) Enforce Maintenance of Silt Fences (Fox) Keep Sediment out of Road Ditches (LS)	No Responses	Silt Fencing and Possible Plugged Culverts After Flooding (SE)
Adequate Training			
Yes	3 2 From OS and SE	2 NAS and BG	
No	3 1 From OS, LR, and Fox	1 OS	3 LS, OS, and SE
No Response	1 OS	1 BL	1 NAS
Unaware of Training/No Training	1 LS and Fox		
Necessary Steps to Reduce Flooding			
Yes	1 SE	1 BG	
No	6 3 From OS, LR, LS and Fox	3 NAS, OS, and BL	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE
No Response	1 OS		

- Key
- OS-Oswego
 - LR-Little Rock
 - SE-Seward
 - LS-Lisbon
 - Fox
 - NAS-Na-Au-Say
 - BL-Blank
 - BG-Big Grove