

KENDALL COUNTY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

111 West Fox Street • Room 209 and 210 • Yorkville, IL • 60560 (630) 553-4141 Fax (630) 553-4179

AGENDA

October 13, 2022 - 4:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER

<u>ROLL CALL:</u> Amy Cesich, Brian DeBolt, Elizabeth Flowers, Scott Gengler, Judy Gilmour, Scott Gryder (Chair), Matt Kellogg (Vice-Chair), Dan Koukol, Ruben Rodriguez, and Robyn Vickers

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES October 19, 2021 Public Hearing/Meeting (Pages 2-3)

PUBLIC COMMENT

OPEN OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

ITEMS OF BUSINESS FOR PUBLIC HEARING

- 1. Comments on Stormwater Management Related Regulations and Enforcement (Pages 4-12)
- 2. Proposed Changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance

CLOSE OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

OTHER BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT

If special accommodations or arrangements are needed to attend this County meeting, please contact the Administration Office at 630-553-4171, a minimum of 24-hours prior to the meeting time.

KENDALL COUNTY STORMWATER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

Kendall County Office Building
Rooms 209 & 210
111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois
Meeting Minutes of October 19, 2021 – Unofficial Until Approved
9:00 a.m.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Gryder called the Stormwater Oversight Committee Public Hearing to order at 4:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Committee Members Present: Amy Cesich, Brian DeBolt, Elizabeth Flowers, Scott Gengler, Judy Gilmour, Scott Gryder, Matt Kellogg, Dan Koukol, Ruben Rodriguez, and Robyn Vickers

Committee Members Absent: None

Also present: Matt Asselmeier and Eric Weis

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Member Cesich made a motion, seconded by Member Koukol, to approve the agenda as presented. With a voice vote of ten (10) ayes, the motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Member Cesich made a motion, seconded by Member Flowers, to approve the minutes of the October 15, 2020, public hearing and meeting. With a voice vote of ten (10) ayes, the motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

OPEN OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

Chairman Gryder opened the Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Public Hearing at 9:01 a.m.

Comments on Stormwater Management Related Regulations and Enforcement

Mr. Asselmeier read a memo regarding stormwater related activities since the October 2020 hearing/meeting.

Proposed Changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance

Staff did not have any proposed changes to the Stormwater Management Ordinance at this time. No other proposed changes were presented by the Committee or members of the public.

Stormwater Oversight Committee October 19, 2021

Mr. Asselmeier noted that the Yorkville-Bristol Sanitary District was working on a project which will require a variance. The Yorkville-Bristol Sanitary District was still working on their variance application.

CLOSE OF ANNUAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

Chairman Gryder closed the Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Public Hearing at 9:02 a.m.

OTHER BUSINESS

None

ADJOURNMENT

Member Cesich made a motion, seconded by Member DeBolt, to adjourn the meeting. With a voice vote of ten (10) ayes, the motion carried. The Stormwater Management Oversight Committee adjourned at 9:02 a.m.

Respectfully submitted, Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM Senior Planner



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, BUILDING & ZONING

111 West Fox Street • Room 204
Yorkville, IL • 60560

(630) 553-4141

Fax (630) 553-4179

MEMORANDUM

To: Kendall County Stormwater Management Oversight Committee

From: Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM Senior Planner

Date: September 28, 2022

Re: 2021-2022 Items to Report

Since the October 2021 Stormwater Management Oversight Committee Hearing, the following stormwater related items have occurred:

- 1. The County Board and the United City of Yorkville approved Stormwater Management Ordinance Variances for the Yorkville-Bristol Sanitary District's plant expansion project.
- 2. The Fox River Watershed Stormwater Mitigation Fee-In-Lieu Account increased by Two Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$2,700) because of a deposit made by TMF Plastics as part of site work at their property on Galena Road. The balance in the account is Three Hundred Eighty-Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$389,800). The Kendall County Forest Preserve District submitted a project to use these funds.
- 3. The Upper Illinois Watershed Stormwater Mitigation Fee-In-Lieu Account received a deposit of Two Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$2,600) because of a payment made by the landscaping business at 12830 Ashley Road as part of site development work at that property. This deposit is believed to be the first and only such deposit into this Fee-In-Lieu Account.
- 4. WBK Engineering completed the Annual NPDES Report and the NPDES Report was submitted to the State.
- 5. The State published a new model floodplain ordinance. WBK Engineering and Staff met with representatives of the State to discuss our questions and concerns regarding several aspects of the new model ordinance. Based on the results of that meeting, WBK Engineering will prepare a redlined proposal of changes to the Kendall County Stormwater Management Ordinance for further discussion after January 1, 2023.
- 6. WBK Engineering investigated a drainage issue at 7405 Audrey Avenue at the request of the Planning, Building and Zoning Committee. The matter is a civil matter between adjoining landowners.
- 7. Staff and WBK Engineering investigated fill placed in the floodplain and work occurring in the channel of the Aux Sable Creek without a permit at 2511 Wildy Road. A citation on this matter was issued. The Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have been notified of the situation.
- 8. WBK Engineering investigated a drainage issues at 15646 F Griswold Springs Road. At this time, no violation exists, but monitoring of the situation will be required.
- The Department issued ten (10) warning notices for improper erosion control (lack of vegetation or silt fence) in Whitetail Ridge. As of the date of this memo, the properties are at different stages of obtaining compliance. The deadline for compliance is October 14, 2022.

10. The County sent the NPDES survey to the townships at the end of June. 2022 was the fourth time the County sent the survey. Four (4) townships responded, which was the same in 2021 and 2020 and five (5) townships in 2019. Bristol Township responded in 2022; Na-Au-Say Township did not respond in 2022. The topic of how to properly store and dispose common household products and incorporate green infrastructure remained the most popular topics for increased learning. Over the years, respondents have not utilized volunteer information on the County's website and that remained true in 2022. Respondents were least interested in river clean-ups; this has been historically the case. Respondents would like the County to perform more visual inspections and perform more grab samples downstream when illicit discharges occur. The Townships have historically responded the same to this question. Townships historically felt that the County does an adequate job of inspecting soil erosion and sediment control at construction sites and this remained true with in the 2022 survey. 2022 was the first year with the question regarding good housekeeping. Only Bristol Township felt that they had a clear understanding of good housekeeping. Historically, the question regarding adequate resources for training on stormwater pollution prevention practices have been mixed. This was again true in 2022. Historically, the townships have felt that the County was not taking necessary steps to reduce flooding; this view held true with the 2022 respondents.

The survey composite and comparison of the 2019, 2020, and 2022 survey responses are attached.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

MHA

NPDES Annual Evaluation Survey Questions Kendall County

Public Education and Outreach

- 1. What topics are you interested in learning more about that the County could provide information on for the public? Please rank the following list from 1 to 3 with 1 being most interested and 3 being least interested.
 - A. How to properly store and dispose of common household products such as fuel, oil, paint, etc.

Most Interested (2) Lisbon and Seward

Middle Interested (2) Oswego and Bristol

Least Interested

B. How to incorporate green infrastructure such as rain gardens, rain barrels, or permeable pavement onto my property to improve rainwater runoff.

Most Interested (2) Oswego and Bristol

Middle Interested

Least Interested (1) Lisbon

C. How to compost to reduce the amount of waste my household generates.

Most Interested

Middle Interested (1) Lisbon

Least Interested (2) Oswego and Bristol

- 2. Do you utilize the stormwater information listed on the County's website at https://www.kendallcountyil.gov/departments/planning-building-zoning/npdes?
 - A. Yes (2) Oswego and Seward
 - B. No (2) Lisbon and Bristol
- 3. Do you find the stormwater information listed on the County's website helpful?
 - A. Yes (2) Oswego and Seward
 - B. No
 - C. Do not utilize information on County Website (2) Lisbon and Bristol

Public Participation/Involvement

- 1. Do you think the County offers enough volunteer opportunities for members of the community?
 - A. Yes
 - B. No (1) Lisbon
 - C. Not familiar with County volunteer opportunities (3) Oswego, Seward, and Bristol

- 2. Do you utilize the volunteer opportunities information listed on the County's website at https://www.kendallcountyil.gov/departments/administration-services/volunteer-opportunities?
 - A. Yes
 - B. No (4) Oswego, Lisbon, Seward, and Bristol
- 3. Do you find the volunteer opportunities information listed on the County's website helpful?
 - A. Yes
 - B. No
 - C. Not familiar with County volunteer opportunities (4) Oswego, Lisbon, Seward, and Bristol
- 4. What volunteer opportunities would you be interested in in participating in in the future? Please rank the following list from 1 to 3 with 1 being most interested and 3 being least interested.
 - A. River clean-up

Most Interested

Middle Interested

Least Interested (2) Oswego and Lisbon

B. Electronic recycling

Most Interested (2) Lisbon and Bristol

Middle Interested (1) Oswego

Least Interested

C. Household waste (fuel, oil, paint, etc.) recycling

Most Interested (2) Oswego and Seward

Middle Interested (1) Lisbon

Least Interested

Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination

- 1. If an illicit discharge is identified by a Township staff member or reported to the Township office, do you work with the County to get it removed?
 - A. Yes (2) Seward and Bristol
 - B. No
 - C. Have not identified illicit discharge. (2) Oswego and Lisbon
- 2. Do you feel the County is doing a sufficient job in identifying, tracking, and removing illicit discharges and non-stormwater discharges that are significant polluters within the County?
 - A. Yes (1) Lisbon
 - B. No

- C. There have not been illicit discharges identified within my Township. (3) Oswego, Seward, and Bristol
- 3. What can the County do to better identify and track illicit discharges?
 - A. Perform more visual inspections at outfalls throughout the County.
 - B. Once an illicit discharge is identified perform more grab samples downstream of the location.
 - C. Both of the above. (4) Oswego, Lisbon, Seward, and Bristol
 - D. None of the above.
 - E. Other:

Construction and Post-Construction Runoff Control

- 1. Do you feel that the County does an adequate job inspecting soil erosion and sediment control on construction sites within your township?
 - A. Yes (3) Oswego, Lisbon, and Seward
 - B. No
 - C. There have not been construction projects within my Township during the past year. (1) Bristol
- 2. What can the County do to better monitor soil erosion and sediment control issues on construction sites?

No Response (1) Oswego

Enforce Rules (1) Lisbon

It seems adequate/ can't control weather (1) Seward

Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping

- 1. Do you have a clear understanding of "Good Housekeeping" under the NPDES regulation?
 - A. Yes (1) Bristol
 - B. No (3) Oswego, Lisbon, and Seward
- 2. Do you feel you have adequate resources for training of your staff members to keep them informed on stormwater pollution prevention practices?
 - C. Yes (2) Seward and Bristol
 - D. No (2) Oswego and Lisbon
- 3. Do you feel the County is taking necessary measures to reduce flooding throughout the County?
 - A. Yes (1) Bristol
 - B. No (3) Oswego, Lisbon, and Seward

General comments or questions regarding Stormwater Management and/or NPDES requirements:

Concerned on accessible tree cleanup in creeks/rivers. Flooding seems automatic in Seward Township (1) Seward

Townships:

Oswego

Lisbon

Seward (Scott Cryder)

Bristol

	2019 Notes	2020 Notes	2021 Notes	2022 Notes
Dispose Products				
Most Interested	7 4 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox	2 NAS and BG	2 NAS and OS	2 LS and SE
Middle Interested		1 BL		2 OS and BR
Least Interested			1 LS	
Incorporate Green Infrastructure				
Most Interested		1 OS		2 OS and BR
Middle Interested	5 2 From OS, SE, LS, Fox	1 BL	1 LS	2 00 and bit
Least Interested	1 LR	2 NAS and BG	2 NAS and OS	1 LS
Least interested	I Lit	Z WAS dila bo	Z IVAS dila OS	113
Compost				
Most Interested			2 LS and SE	
Middle Interested		3 NAS BL and BG	2 NAS and OS	1 LS
Least Interested	6 2 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox			2 OS and BR
Utilize SW Info				
Yes	1 OS	1 OS	1 SE	2 OS and SE
No	7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox	3 NAS, BL, and BG	3 NAS, LS, and OS	2 LS and BR
No Response				
SW Info Helpful				
Yes	2 OS and SE	1 OS	1 SE	2 OS and SE
No	4 2 From OS, LS, Fox	2 BL and BG		
Do Not Utilize	N/A	N/A	3 NAS, LS, and OS	2 LS and BR
No Response	3 OS, LR, SE	1 NAS		
Enough Volunteer Opportunities				
Yes	2 OS and SE	2 OS and BG	1 SE	
No	5 2 From OS, LR, LS, Fox	1 NAS	1 32	1 LS
Not Familiar	N/A	N/A	3 NAS, LS, and OS	3 OS, SE, and BR
No Response	1 OS	1 BL	5 14/15, E5, and 05	5 65, 5E, and bit
	1 00	1 52		

Utilize Volunteer Opportunities

No 7 4 From OS, LR, LS, Fox 2 OS and BG 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE, and BR No Response 1 NAS 1 NAS 4 OS, LS, SE, and BR Volunteer Opportunities Helpful Ves 2 OS and SE 1 OS 1 SE No 3 OS, LS, Fox 2 BL and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 4 OS, LS, SE, and BR No Familiar N/A N/A NAS 3 NAS, LS, and OS 4 OS, LS, SE, and BR River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS 1 NAS Least Popular Least Popular Electronic Recycling Most Popular Yes Most Popular-LS and SE Most Popular-LS and SE Work With County on Illicit Discharge Second Most Popular Yes 1 OS Most Popular-LS and SE No 0 0 0 Most Popular-LS and SE Most Popular-LS and SE No 1 OS 1 OS Yes 2 SE and BR No 0 0 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 2 OS and LS Sufficient Job Yes 1 OS 1 SE 1 LS No 0	Yes	1 SE	1 BL		
Volunteer Opportunities Helpful Yes 2 OS and SE 1 OS 1 SE No 3 OS, LS, Fox 2 BL and BG 1 NAS Not Familiar N/A N/A N/AS River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS 1 NAS Least Popular -NAS and OS 4 OS, LS, SE, and BR Household Waste Recycling Most Popular Least Popular Most Popular-LS and SE Most Popular-LS and SE And BR Work With County on Illicit Discharge Yes 2 2 From OS 1 OS Most Popular-LS and SE 2 SE and BR No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 No illicit Discharge 2 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Ft 3 NAS BL and BG 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 2 OS and LS Sufficient Job 1 OS 0 1 SE 1 LS No 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>7 4 From OS, LR, LS, Fox</td><td>2 OS and BG</td><td>4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE</td><td>4 OS, LS, SE, and BR</td></t<>	No	7 4 From OS, LR, LS, Fox	2 OS and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE	4 OS, LS, SE, and BR
Yes 2 OS and SE (NO) 1 OS (2 BL and BG) 1 SE No (Not Familiar) N/A (N/A) N/A (N/A) 3 NAS, LS, and OS (NAS, LS, SE, and BR) No Response 3 2 From OS and LR (NAS) 1 NAS Least Popular River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Most Popular-NAS and US (NAS, Popular-SE and BR) Household Waste Recycling Second Most Popular (NAS, Popular) Most Popular-LS and SE (NAS, Popular) Nost Popular-LS and SE (NAS, Popular)	No Response		1 NAS		
Yes 2 OS and SE (NO) 1 OS (2 BL and BG) 1 SE No (Not Familiar) N/A (N/A) N/A (N/A) 3 NAS, LS, and OS (NAS, LS, SE, and BR) No Response 3 2 From OS and LR (NAS) 1 NAS Least Popular River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Least Popular Except in OS (NAS, Popular) Most Popular-NAS and US (NAS, Popular-SE and BR) Household Waste Recycling Second Most Popular (NAS, Popular) Most Popular-LS and SE (NAS, Popular) Nost Popular-LS and SE (NAS, Popular)	Voluntoor Opportunities Holpful				
NO Examiliar N/A		2 OS and SE	1.00	1 CE	
Not Familiar No Response 3 2 From OS and LR 1 NAS Least Popular Least Popular Except in OS Least Popular Except in OS Least Popular Least Popular Least Popular Least Popular Least Popular Least Popular Most Popular-NAS and OS Most Popular-LS and BR Most Pop				1 25	
River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS Rose Popular-IS and SE Rose Popular-IS and BR Rose Popular-IS and SE Rose Popular-IS and BR Rose Popular IS and BR					. 00 10 05
River Clean-up Least Popular Except in OS				3 NAS, LS, and OS	4 OS, LS, SE, and BR
Electronic Recycling Most Popular Bousehold Waste Recycling Second Most Popular Second Most Popular Second Most Popular Most Popular-LS and SE Most Popular-LS and BR A NAS LS, and SE A NAS, LS, and SE 4 NAS, LS, and SE 2 NAS OS, ANS, BL, and BG A NAS, LS, and SE 4 NAS, LS, and BR A NA	No Response	3 2 From OS and LR	1 NAS		
Household Waste Recycling Second Most Popular	River Clean-up	Least Popular Except in OS		Least Popular	Least Popular
Household Waste Recycling Second Most Popular Work With County on Illicit Discharge Yes 2 2 From OS 1 OS No No No 0 O No 0	Electronic Recycling	Most Popular		Most Popular-NAS and OS	•
Work With County on Illicit Discharge Yes 2 2 From OS 1 OS 2 SE and BR No 0 0 No Illicit Discharge 5 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Ft 3 NAS BL and BG 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 2 OS and LS Sufficient Job Yes 1 OS 0 1 SE 1 LS No 0 0 No Illicit Discharge 7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 4 OS, LS, SE and BR Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	Household Waste Recycling	Second Most Popular		Most Popular-LS and SE	Most Popular-SE
No Illicit Discharge 5 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Fr 3 NAS BL and BG 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 2 OS and LS Sufficient Job Yes 1 OS 0 1 SE 1 LS No 0 0 0 No Illicit Discharge 7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Visual Inspections 0 1 BL More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	Work With County on Illicit Discharge				
No Illicit Discharge 5 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Ft 3 NAS BL and BG 4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE 2 OS and LS Sufficient Job Yes 1 OS 0 1 SE 1 LS NO 0 0 No Illicit Discharge 7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Visual Inspections 0 1 BL More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	Yes	2 2 From OS	1 OS		2 SE and BR
Sufficient Job Yes 1 OS 0 1 SE 1 LS No 0 0 No Illicit Discharge 7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Visual Inspections 0 1 BL More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	No	0	0		
Yes1 OS01 SE1 LSNo00No Illicit Discharge7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG3 NAS, LS, and OS3 OS, SE, and BRWhat Can County Do BetterWhat Can County Do Better1 BLMore Visual Inspections01 BLMore Grab Samples1 SE0Both of Above5 3 From OS, LR, and LS2 NAS OS3 LS, OS, and SE4 OS, LS, SE and BRNone of Above01 BG1 NAS	No Illicit Discharge	5 2 From OS, LR, SW, LS, and Fo	3 NAS BL and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE	2 OS and LS
Yes1 OS01 SE1 LSNo00No Illicit Discharge7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG3 NAS, LS, and OS3 OS, SE, and BRWhat Can County Do BetterWhat Can County Do Better1 BLMore Visual Inspections01 BLMore Grab Samples1 SE0Both of Above5 3 From OS, LR, and LS2 NAS OS3 LS, OS, and SE4 OS, LS, SE and BRNone of Above01 BG1 NAS	Sufficient Ioh				
No Illicit Discharge 0 0 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Visual Inspections 0 1 BL More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS		1.05	0	1 SF	1
No Illicit Discharge 7 3 From OS, LR, SE, LS, Fox 4 OS, NAS, BL, and BG 3 NAS, LS, and OS 3 OS, SE, and BR What Can County Do Better More Visual Inspections 0 1 BL More Grab Samples 1 SE 0 Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS				1 32	1 23
More Visual Inspections01 BLMore Grab Samples1 SE0Both of Above5 3 From OS, LR, and LS2 NAS OS3 LS, OS, and SE4 OS, LS, SE and BRNone of Above01 BG1 NAS		•		3 NAS, LS, and OS	3 OS, SE, and BR
More Visual Inspections01 BLMore Grab Samples1 SE0Both of Above5 3 From OS, LR, and LS2 NAS OS3 LS, OS, and SE4 OS, LS, SE and BRNone of Above01 BG1 NAS					
More Grab Samples1 SE0Both of Above5 3 From OS, LR, and LS2 NAS OS3 LS, OS, and SE4 OS, LS, SE and BRNone of Above01 BG1 NAS	What Can County Do Better				
Both of Above 5 3 From OS, LR, and LS 2 NAS OS 3 LS, OS, and SE 4 OS, LS, SE and BR None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	More Visual Inspections	0	1 BL		
None of Above 0 1 BG 1 NAS	More Grab Samples	1 SE	0		
	Both of Above	5 3 From OS, LR, and LS	2 NAS OS	3 LS, OS, and SE	4 OS, LS, SE and BR
Other Educate Public and Public Of 0	None of Above	0	1 BG	1 NAS	
	Other	Educate Public and Public Of	0		

Soil and Erosion Inspections

Yes	3 3 From OS	2 OS and BG	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE	3 OS, LS, and SE
No	2 LS and Fox	0		
No Construction Projects	2 LR and SE	2 NAS and BL		1 BR
What Can County Do Better	Work Closer with Township (OS) No Responses Enforce Maintenance of Silt Fences (Fox) Keep Sediment out of Road Ditches (LS)		Silt Fencing and Possib Enforce Rules (LS) Culverts After Floodins Adequate Response (SE)	
Understanding Good Housing Keeping				
Yes				1 BR
No				3 OS, LS, and SE
Adequate Training				
Yes	3 2 From OS and SE	2 NAS and BG		2 SE and BR
No	3 1 From OS, LR, and Fox	1 OS	3 LS, OS, and SE	2 OS and LS
No Response	1 OS	1 BL	1 NAS	
Unaware of Training/No Training	1 LS and Fox			
Necessary Steps to Reduce Flooding				
Yes	1 SE	1 BG		1 BR
No	6 3 From OS, LR, LS and Fox	3 NAS, OS, and BL	4 NAS, LS, OS, and SE	3 OS, LS, and SE
No Response	1 OS			, .
Key				
OS-Oswego				
LR-Little Rock				
SE-Seward				
LS-Lisbon				
Fox				
NAS-Na-Au-Say				
BL-Blank				
BG-Big Grove				
BR-Bristol				